UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,817	09/07/2005	Holger Klapproth	JST-03U1	2401
59538 7590 04/21/2009 BIOTECH BEACH LAW GROUP, PC				
625 BROADWAY			YU, MELANIE J	
Suite 1210 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/521,817	KLAPPROTH, HOLGER					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MELANIE YU	1641					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>MELANIE YU</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Mr. Raymond Wagenknecht</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 20 April 2009.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>79</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Cohen et al.</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>applicant's representative argued that the blocking reagent of Cohen does not contain a photoactive group because when the blocking reagent is attached to the substrate, the photoactive group has already been activated and is therefore no longer photoactive. Examiner requested structural differences between the photo active group and the activated photo active group. Applicant's arguments will be considered upon submission.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO				
/Malania Vu/							
/Melanie Yu/							